IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Stachurski et al

Appl. No.:

09/522,421 March 9, 2000

Filed: Title:

Encoding in Speech Compression

Art Unit:

2654

Examiner:

Chawan

Docket:

TI-29010



10-1-02 V

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Box NonFee Amendment Washington, DC 20231

AMENDMENT

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed 07/01/2002, please amend as shown on the attached sheets: "Version with markings to show changes made" and "Clean copy"...

REMARKS

Claims 1-4 are pending in the application with claims 2-4 new; reexamination and reconsideration are hereby requested.

Claim 1 was rejected as unpatentable over McCree. The Examiner cited column 5, line 39 through column 6, line 45.

Applicants reply that McCree does not suggest change of a selection between two predictors based on a prior predictor selection. Indeed, the pseudocode in column 6 states that the encoding method for the first gain depends upon the second gain values, but not on a prior encoding method for a prior first gain. Consequently, the reference does not suggest claim 1.

The Abstract of the Disclosure was objected to as not clear as to what the invention is.